

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,012	06/21/2001	Kazunori Iwamoto	684.3200	4403
5514	7590 03/04/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
	ELLER PLAZA K, NY 10112			DAVID B
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/04/2002	

·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	lpplicant(s)	\mathcal{O}
Advisory Action	09/885,012	IWAMOTO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. Ben Esplin	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2003 FAI Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may on condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Note Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 G	required to avoid abandonment of this ly be either: (1) a timely filed amendme otice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application	a in
<u>PE</u>	RIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b	p)]	
no event, however, will the statutory perio ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FII 706.07(f).	ailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date of for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHERST 1.136(a). The date on which the petition undnining the period of extension and the correspondexpiration date of the shortened statutory period ceived by the Office later than three months after	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriat ding amount of the fee. The appropria for reply originally set in the final Office	MPEP te extension te extension e action; or
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension the	Appellant's Brief must be filed within hereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will no			
	d require further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matt	,		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place th issues for appeal; and/or	e application in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplif	ying the
(d) they present additional claims v	without canceling a corresponding numl	per of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo	ollowing rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s canceling the non-allowable claim(s		in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) application in condition for allowance		n considered but does NOT pla	ice the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be contained by the Examiner in the final results.		LELY to issues which were nev	wly _.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the propose explanation of how the new or amer	ed amendment(s) a) will not be enterented amendment(s) a) will not be enterented is provided.		ın

10. Other: ____

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: ____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 4 add a new element that must be searched, specifically, including plural movable members with plural actuators, and raise new U.S.C. 112 second paragraph issues by labelling two distinct groups of elements with the same name. The new claims 36-50 similarly raise new issues for consideration and search.

RUSSELL ADAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800